Filipino farmers and fisherfolks are crucial in the country’s development and sustainability; the agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing (AHFF) industry contribute largely to the gross domestic product (GDP), according to the Philippine Statistics Authority (PSA). However, they remain to be the poorest sectors in the Philippines. This Policy Brief looks into the issues confronting these sectors, e.g. inadequate farm input support and lack of sustainable and alternative livelihoods, as well as identifies several recommendations particularly on policy implementation to help alleviate poverty and ensure inclusive economic growth.

**ISSUES ON LIVELIHOOD**

**Farmers from 16 municipalities raised several gaps on the State-provided farm input support**

Some of the gaps that have been identified by the farmers were: 1) mismatch between land size and the provision of seeds and fertilizers; 2) untimely delivery of farm input support during the planting season; 3) low quality of livestock given to farmers for dispersal purposes; and 4) ineffective information dissemination and targeting of beneficiaries. The insufficiency of farm input support supplies are attributed to the limited budget provided by the government. For farmers of Maydolong, Eastern Samar, this led to a decrease in their production.

On the other hand, farmers from Calbayog City, Samar reported that only those who submit project proposals were provided with local support. Since many farmers and fisherfolks are not equipped with the necessary technical skills, they were unable to craft and submit proposals.

In terms of farm equipment utilization, some farmers have inadequate knowledge about properly operating and maintaining their machines. Those who live in far-flung areas also continue to use outdated machineries because farm technicians cannot reach them. Additionally, not all fisherfolks receive fishing equipment, either. They are not aware of the criteria used in selecting the recipients of fishing support.

With these gaps in mind, the CBAMGs made the following recommendations:

- Conduct regular consultations with the farmers to come up with programs and services that will cater to their needs. The Barangay Agricultural Worker (BAW) should be active in relaying the concerns of the farmers to the Municipal Agricultural Office (MAO). The implementation should be localized to ensure better quality of the support vis-a-vis profitability and sustainability of the program;
- The LGU should allocate funds for the procurement of additional farm and fishing inputs;
- The LGU should train farmers and fisherfolks about crafting project proposals; and
- The LGU should also administer a comprehensive survey among farmers to ensure that the program is well-targeted. At the same time, the Department of Agriculture (DA) should re-evaluate and validate all indigent farmers to make sure that they benefit from the program.

9 municipalities emphasized the need for irrigation systems

Effective irrigation systems should be installed to address the farmers’ needs. Irrigation equipment such as water pump, suction hose and flexible hose should be requested by submitting a project proposal.

During the national consultation, a representative from National Irrigation Authority (NIA) clarified that while the DA builds irrigation systems to help out poor municipalities, its installation will still depend on the type of soil and the availability of water sources in the area. In this regard, they are encouraging farmers to engage in other farming activities such as vegetable production.

**Need for alternative and sustainable livelihood**

The Sustainable Livelihood Program (SLP) is a capability-building program that provides access to opportunities that will increase the productivity of the livelihood assets of the poor, vulnerable and marginalized communities, in order to improve their socio-economic well-being.

However, not all farmers and fisherfolks in the city/municipalities covered by the monitoring activity are SLP beneficiaries. Again, the sectors pointed out that this gap is due to the insufficiency of funds allotted by the national and local government. If programs were made available, members of the sectors still assert that these do not match their skills and interests, and do not improve their income.

The LGU should provide additional livelihood programs and skills training to fisherfolks to augment their income. Moreover, a survey should also be conducted to identify those in the community that should benefit from the programs. The list should be accurate and validated. Livelihood programs should also be monitored.

Meanwhile, farmers should also be given alternative livelihood that will allow them to cope with the effects of climate change on their productivity. The DA should conduct a study on the effects of climate change and come up a climate change adoption plan with LGUs that will include the provision of alternative livelihood programs for farmers. During the national consultation, a representative from the Department of Interior and Local Government (DILG) added that the issue should be viewed at the macro/provincial level because planting is being done depending on the climate of different provinces and areas.
Weak implementation of laws and regulations on illegal fishing affected the fisherfolks’ catch and income

The LGU and the Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources (BFAR) should ensure strict implementation of laws and regulations on illegal fishing. Additionally, fisherfolks association should have an active participation on the implementation of the law by reporting illegal activities of fishermen from other municipalities.

ORGANIZING AND CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

10 municipalities said farmers associations in their barangays are not functional

Some farmers organizations have difficulty getting registered and accredited because of limited understanding on the process and the documents required. Because of this, the members do not receive important updates concerning the sector. While each barangay has a designated BAW, it still lacks the capacity to transmit information from the municipality to the farmers in the barangay.

Calbayog City farmers also said the support provided by the government is only limited to registered groups. However, only few farmers (and fisherfolks) are members of associations and there is weak government support in organizing the farmers and fisherfolks sectors.

The inability of the fisherfolks sector to organize also caused their lack of participation during planning and other governance processes.

Inactive farmers’ organizations need to be restructured. Inactive members should be evaluated as well. These groups should be registered and accredited, while concrete plans should be installed to strengthen them. A CSO Desk should be created to respond to the needs and issues of CSOs.

The feedback mechanism should be revived within each organization. Federation presidents should also visit the farmers’ associations in the barangay to provide updates on the status of the LGU’s plans and programs for them.

During the national consultation, BFAR encouraged fisherfolks to form groups for better management of sectoral concerns. This will also result to inclusion in BFAR’s targeting for equipment distribution. The accreditation process of sectoral groups in the Local Special Bodies should also be streamlined and made easier.

ACCESS TO INFORMATION

Agricultural production is a main source of livelihood for Filipino farmers. However, it is also an industry that is prone to risks and natural disasters such as typhoons, floods and droughts. Given this, the Filipino government instituted a crop insurance system to provide protection against these instances of political patronage at the LGU level, when preference is given to individuals who have close ties with local officials during the distribution of inputs;

• Make the position of Cooperative Development Officer – coordinator of the members of the sectors in the communities – mandatory to help organize farmers and fisherfolks; and

• Issues concerning farmers and fisherfolks should be viewed in a more holistic and sustainable approach, from property/tenurial rights to support services to productivity/livelihood.